arXiv:1612.05320v2 [cs.FL] 20 Dec 2016

Minimum Critical Exponents for Palindromes

Jeffrey Shallit
School of Computer Science
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1
Canada
shallit@cs.uwaterloo.ca

December 21, 2016

Abstract

We determine the minimum possible critical exponent for all palindromes over finite alphabets.

1 Introduction

Palindromes — words that read the same forwards and backwards, such as the English word `radar` — have been studied in formal language theory for at least 50 years, starting with the 1965 papers of Freivalds [4] and Barzdin [1]. Recently Mikhailova and Volkov [5] initiated the study of repetitions in palindromes.

In this note we completely classify the largest fractional repetitions in palindromes.

2 Notation

Throughout, we use the variables a, b, c to denote single letters, and the variables u, v, w, x, y, z to denote words. By $|x|$ we mean the length of a word x, and by x^R we mean its reversal. The empty word is written ε. A word x is a *palindrome* if $x = x^R$. It is well-known, and easily proved, that $(xy)^R = y^R x^R$.

If a word w can be written in the form $w = xyz$ for (possibly empty) words x, y, z, then we say that y is a *factor* of w. We say that a word $x = x[1..n]$ has *period* p if $x[i] = x[i + p]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - p$. We say that a word x is a (p/q)-power, for integers $p > q \geq 1$, if x has period q and length p. For example, the word `ionization` is a $\frac{10}{7}$-power. A 2-power is called a *square*. The exponent of a finite word w, written $\exp(w)$, is defined to be the largest rational number p/q such that w is a (p/q)-power. For example, $x =$ `tretretretre`, the name of an extinct Madagascan lemur, is both a 2-power and a 4-power, but $\exp(x) = 4$.

Finally, we say that a word z *contains* an α-power if z contains a factor x that is a (p/q)-power for some $p/q \geq \alpha$. Otherwise we say that z *avoids* α-powers or is α-power free. We say that a word z avoids α^+-powers or is α^+-power-free if, for all $p/q > \alpha$, the word z contains no factor that is a (p/q)-power. The *critical exponent* of a word, written $\mathrm{cexp}(w)$, is the maximum of $\exp(w')$ over all nonempty factors w' of w.

A *morphism* h is a map from Σ^* to Δ^* for alphabets Σ and Δ satisfying the rule $h(xy) = h(x)h(y)$ for all words $x, y \in \Sigma^*$. It is said to be k-*uniform* if the image length of every letter is equal to k. If $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma$, we can iterate h, writing h^2 for the composition $h \circ h$, h^3 for $h \circ h \circ h$, and so forth. If there is a letter a such that $h(a) = ax$, with $h(x) \neq \epsilon$, we can iterate h on a, obtaining the infinite *fixed point*

$$h^\omega(a) = a\, x\, h(x)\, h^2(x)\, h^3(x) \cdots .$$

As an example, consider the *Thue-Morse morphism* μ, defined by $\mu(0) = 01$, $\mu(1) = 10$. Then $\mathbf{t} = \mu^\omega(0)$ is the infinite *Thue-Morse word*, studied by Thue [8, 2]. As is well-known, the word $\mathbf{t}$ is 2^+-power-free.

The following simple lemma will be useful for us. We say that a morphism $h : \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ is *palindromic* if every letter has a palindromic image; that is, if $h(a)$ is a palindrome for all $a \in \Sigma$.

Lemma 1. *Suppose h is a palindromic morphism, and w is a palindrome. Then $h(w)$ is a palindrome.*

Proof. Write $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ for letters a_i. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(w) &= h(w^R) = h(a_n \cdots a_2 a_1) \\ &= h(a_n) \cdots h(a_2) h(a_1) = h(a_n)^R \cdots h(a_2)^R h(a_1)^R \\ &= (h(a_1) h(a_2) \cdots h(a_n))^R = h(w)^R. \end{aligned}$$

□

3 The even-length case

In this paper we are interested in the critical exponents of palindromes. Even-length palindromes are not so interesting: the symbol in the middle of every even-length palindrome is repeated $\cdots aa \cdots$, and so every even-length palindrome has critical exponent at least 2. Over a binary alphabet (and hence over every alphabet), it is easy to see that $\mu^{2n}(0)$ is a palindromic prefix of the Thue-Morse word of length 2^{2n}. Hence for all alphabets and all even lengths the smallest possible critical exponent is 2, and it is always achieved.

In the remainder of this paper, then, we focus on the odd-length palindromes. We note that, in order to show the existence of a palindrome of length ℓ avoiding α-powers, for all odd $\ell \geq 1$, it suffices to exhibit arbitrarily large such palindromes. This is because every odd-length palindrome avoiding α-powers continues to avoid α-powers if a block of size t is removed simultaneously from the front and end of the word.

4 Binary alphabet

In this section we assume the alphabet is $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.

Proposition 2. *Every odd-length binary palindrome of length ≥ 7 contains a $\frac{7}{3}$-power.*

Proof. Every odd-length binary palindrome of length ≥ 7 contains within it an odd-length palindrome of length 7. So it suffices to examine the 16 possible odd-length binary palindromes of length 7. The minimum critical exponents are those corresponding to the words 0110110 and 1001001, with exponent $\frac{7}{3}$. □

Theorem 3. *For every odd $\ell \geq 7$, there is a palindrome of length ℓ with critical exponent $\frac{7}{3}$.*

Proof. We use the following 19-uniform morphism of Rampersad:

$$f(0) = 0110100110110010110$$
$$f(1) = 1001011001001101001$$

Note that f is palindromic, and so $f^n(0)$ is a palindrome of odd length for all $n \geq 1$. Rampersad showed [7] that $f^\omega(0)$ avoids $\frac{7}{3}^+$-powers. Hence $f^n(0)$, for $n \geq 1$, gives us a sequence of longer and longer palindromes with the desired property. □

Remark 4. It is easy to see that each of the words $\mu^{2n}(0)\,010\,\mu^{2n}(0)$ is a palindrome of odd length. It is possible to show, although we do not do it here, that each of these words has critical exponent $\frac{7}{3}$. In fact, the only $\frac{7}{3}$-power is 1001001, which occurs exactly once at the center. This gives an alternative construction.

5 Ternary alphabet

Proposition 5. *Every odd palindrome of length ≥ 17 over a ternary alphabet contains a $(7/4)$-power.*

Proof. It suffices to examine all 19683 length-17 palindromes. The word

$$01210120102101210,$$

and its images under codings that permute the underlying alphabet, are the unique length-17 palindromes with exponent $\frac{7}{4}$. □

Theorem 6. *For every odd $\ell \geq 17$ there is a palindrome of length ℓ with critical exponent $\frac{7}{4}$.*

Proof. Define the 19-uniform morphism g by

$$g(0) = 0120212012102120210$$
$$g(1) = 1201020120210201021$$
$$g(2) = 2012101201021012102$$

It is easily checked that g is palindromic, and so from Lemma 1, we see that $g^n(0)$ is a palindrome of length 19^n. However, g is — up to renaming of the letters — just Dejean's famous morphism [3] and she proved its iterates avoid $\frac{7}{4}^+$-powers. □

6 Alphabet size 4 and larger

In this section we assume the alphabet is $\Sigma = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ or larger.

Proposition 7. *All odd palindromes of length ≥ 3 have a $\frac{3}{2}$-power.*

Proof. Such a palindrome must contain, at its center, the word aba, which is a $\frac{3}{2}$-power. □

Theorem 8. *Over an alphabet of size 4 or larger, for every odd $\ell \geq 3$ there is a palindrome of length ℓ with critical exponent $\frac{3}{2}$.*

Proof. It suffices to do this for an alphabet of size 4. Consider the 11-uniform morphism h defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h(0) &= 01312021310 \\ h(1) &= 12023132021 \\ h(2) &= 23130203132 \\ h(3) &= 30201310203 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that h is palindromic. We claim that $h^\omega(0)$ is $\frac{3}{2}^+$-power-free. To see this, we use the `Walnut` theorem-proving package of H. Mousavi [6].

The appropriate predicate is

```
eval abc "?msd_11 Ei (p>=1) & Ak Aj ((2*j<=p)&(k=i+j)) => H[k]=H[k+p]":
```

where `H` is an automaton defined in `Walnut`'s "Word Automata" directory to represent the morphism h. The computation ran in 28.982 seconds on a Linux machine and proved the result. It follows from Lemma 1 that $h^n(0)$ is a palindrome of length 11^n that is $\frac{3}{2}^+$-power-free.

Furthermore, a similar computation gives that the only $\frac{3}{2}$-powers occurring in $h^\omega(0)$ are of length $a \cdot 11^i$, where $a \in 3, 6, 9, 12$. □

7 Bi-infinite words

For some purposes it might be nice to have a *single* bi-infinite word $\cdots a_{-2}a_{-1}a_0a_1a_2\cdots$ all of whose truncations $a_{-n}\cdots a_{-1}a_0a_1\cdots a_n$ are palindromes and avoid the appropriate powers. For this it is useful to have a palindromic morphism γ such that $\gamma(0) = x^R0x$ for some word x. Then iterating γ around the central 0 gives the bi-infinite word $\cdots\gamma^2(x^R)\gamma(x^R)0\gamma(x)\gamma^2(x)\cdots$.

For the binary case, Rampersad's morphism f gives this, as $f(0) = x^R 0 x$ for $x = 110010110$. Thus, iteration of f around the central 0 preserves the 0 and generates such a bi-infinite word.

Similarly, for alphabet size 4, our morphism h can be written in the form $h(0) = y^R 0 y$, for $y = 21310$. So again, iteration of h around the central 0 preserves the 0 and generates such a bi-infinite word.

However, Dejean's morphism g does not have this property. However, g^3 does; it is 6859-uniform. Alternatively, one can use the 31-uniform morphism defined as follows:

$$\alpha(0) = 0121021201020120210201021201210$$
$$\alpha(1) = 1202102012101201021012102012021$$
$$\alpha(2) = 2010210120212012102120210120102$$

Then α is palindromic and it can be shown that $\alpha^\omega(0)$ avoids $\frac{7}{4}^+$ powers. Since $\alpha(0) = z^R 0 z$ for $z = 210201021201210$, iteration of α around the central 0 preserves the 0 and generates a bi-infinite word.

8 Acknowledgment

We thank Narad Rampersad for pointing out the paper of Mikhailova and Volkov.

9 Further work

In the next version of this paper we will have results on the exponential growth of the number of palindromes with minimal exponent over all alphabets.

References

[1] J. M. Barzdin. Complexity of recognition of symmetry on Turing machines. *Problemy Kibernetiki* **15** (1965), 245–248. In Russian.

[2] J. Berstel. *Axel Thue's Papers on Repetitions in Words: a Translation.* Number 20 in Publications du Laboratoire de Combinatoire et d'Informatique Mathématique. Université du Québec à Montréal, February 1995.

[3] F. Dejean. Sur un théorème de Thue. *J. Combin. Theory. Ser. A* **13** (1972), 90–99.

[4] R. Freivalds. Complexity of palindromes recognition by Turing machines with an input. *Algebra i Logika* **4**(1) (1965), 47–58. In Russian.

[5] I. A. Mikhailova and M. V. Volkov. Pattern avoidance by palindromes. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **410** (2009), 2992–2998.

[6] H. Mousavi. Automatic theorem proving in `Walnut`. Preprint available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.06017, 2016.

[7] N. Rampersad. Words avoiding $\frac{7}{3}$-powers and the Thue-Morse morphism. In C. S. Calude, E. Calude, and M. J. Dinneen, editors, *Developments in Language Theory, 8th International Conference, DLT 2004*, Vol. 3340 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 357–367. Springer-Verlag, 2004.

[8] A. Thue. Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen. *Norske vid. Selsk. Skr. Mat. Nat. Kl.* **1** (1912), 1–67. Reprinted in *Selected Mathematical Papers of Axel Thue*, T. Nagell, editor, Universitetsforlaget, Oslo, 1977, pp. 413–478.